general implications: Fransient (not tangible); fleeting · meant for others to hear respond to -> all about interaction · must be used with obj. (eq. "sound the alarm") - D useless in isolation "Sestina: Like" Research Paper Definition Outline Thesis starter: "Sestina: Like" sounds the reality that similarity is not sameness. sound (all defintions from Oxford English Dictionary) v. I v.i. 1b spec. Of a v.'II v.t. 9 Of words: v. 11 Taunt. Cf. sound v. 5 v.t. Understand; on below. trumpet or other signify, mean; imply fathom instrument: give a call or · use of Sesting · Senstina torm entice poem is an attempt summons to arms, but you all lines to understand how a word traditionally used tor battle, etc; make a signal. slightly altered that sounds the same Mockery both follows & · "no button "- desire for can change meaning cand deviates from fixed · ironic"twiddlcing] of when what that meaning concrete "quantitiable" "unopposing thumbs-up is form - almost (i.e. a "signal") } identical but completely simile's themselves exist pun on the opposable "money-like" 4 "Token" to help create understanding thumbs that seperate transtorms understanding · Quotes around "friends" man from animal and by comparing two unlike only quantifiable has valve cleaves no room for feelings) things and making them machine (but apparently Lo but all Virtual-D"like" signifies degraded & "like" -obut not metaphor not by much) in quotes redefined "like this page" · hypens imply concepts enjambment of "like" · Personification of Like bactivism reliant on combine but "Semi-demi Wise" - Dimeans similarly to increase understanding comp. lobj., which ultimately goddess" still diff. but seperated "like" from through human turns "does diddly"—o fig., v.literally, debased only imply, v. asset wise" creating distance LD but in turn makes "Stamp out"—D not all actions numan behavior incompcan be made symbolic · juxtaposition of "unlikely ."Dumb phones," and rehensible and mechanand still have impact Like" shows simultaneous similarities and differences societal judgement of ized (desire to "quantify") "So OVER him, Toverhear" those who use them, D "So"s and colon's public - Dalways involving/enlisting between simile others - D"OVER" but and metionor-Assimilar next to elevated diction attempt to explain human and metiphor-asimile supr. that "stupid" (but behavior - D speech = underst. actually consdentious) - "quotative" of "Heslike" actually in the midst of people use - Diromically and "I'm all like" dramatize · "You know?" -Dlanguage= leaves the reader "Sans and 1 understanding, "cclick 'like'lif you're against implied meaning Subtitle" but irony x 2 but through tone that leat -Dempathy through extinction() "p paventhesis= has exactly communicated ion and the unquant (lack of) languagelconv. not actually a priority "sans subtititles" means her intended meaning if iable - D"you know" both cause & ad Her feelings towards greatest understanding "WIO" - D Stallings as translator LD ad and activism "those poets" both mocks comes from meaningless Labort Still "foreign" and confloted (\$ linked comparison still a simile them and includes her Arcular and language to morals) - b but still -poets job is to "imply" self "us to admit" . Take "like" out of cheat Same seperation/purity but not translate, meaning -pironic allusion to but can then only be due to paventhesis . veronouncciationaf], say, English as she's spoke explained by putting · signal with "gesticulat Lions]" lichen " = belief that sound replaces meaning _D literally as parenthe like back in (simile)
Lo but "pronounce" & "say" Licelly insolied to help "like back in (simile) but body language tically implied to both . "Like is like" now cannot replace verbal could be result synonyms, use and not use it defines self-D?s but aren't in the way - contractiction of "real" (even in direct address language literally they're used (no two . p.o. v. "my" friend & "We" v. "I overhear" places poet words, or even the same speech & "like" · "But"... "We're alike" both in and out of society word, is truly the same) exentually includes self Loboth Similar & cliff. in jake through pov long. connects Eisolates LD" we're alike" - D but no pronouns in last line

Sestina: Like A. E. Stallings

With a nod to Jonah Winter

Now we're all "friends," there is no love but Like, A semi-demi goddess, something like
A reality-TV star look-alike,
Named Simile or Me Two. So we like
In order to be liked. It isn't like
There's Love or Hate now. Even plain "dislike"

Is frowned on: there's no button for it. Like Is something you can quantify: each "like" You gather's almost something money-like, Token of virtual support. "Please like This page to stamp out hunger." And you'd *like* To end hunger and climate change alike,

But it's unlikely Like does diddly. Like
Just twiddles its unopposing thumbs-ups, likeWise props up scarecrow silences. "I'm like,
So OVER him," I overhear. "But, like,
He doesn't get it. Like, you know? He's like
It's all OK. Like I don't even LIKE.

Him anymore. Whatever. I'm all like ... "
Take "like" out of our chat, we'd all alike
Flounder, agape, gesticulating like
A foreign film sans subtitles, fall like
Dumb phones to mooted desuetude. Unlike
With other crutches, um, when we use "like,"

We're not just buying time on credit: Like
Displaces other words; crowds, cuckoo-like,
Endangered hatchlings from the nest. (Click "like"
If you're against extinction!) Like is like
Invasive zebra mussels, or it's like
Those nutria-things, or kudzu, or belike

Redundant fast food franchises, each like (More like) the next. Those poets who dislike Inversions, archaisms, who just like Plain English as she's spoke — why isn't "like" Their (literally) every other word? I'd like Us just to admit that's what real speech is like.

But as you like, my friend. Yes, we're alike, How we pronounce, say, lichen, and dislike Cancer and war, So like this page. Click *Like*. ore "like"

"To say that things are identical is to say that they are the same. "Identity" and "sameness" mean the same; their meanings are identical. However, they have more than one meaning. A distinction is customarily drawn between qualitative and numerical identity or sameness. Things with qualitative identity share properties, so things can be more or less qualitatively identical. Numerical identity requires absolute, or total, qualitative identity, and can only hold between a thing and itself. Its name implies the controversial view that it is the only identity relation in accordance with which we can properly count (or number) things: x and y are to be properly counted as one just in case they are numerically identical (Geach 1973)."

" quantify"

can identity exist w/o exact "sameness"